arXiv:hep-th/0207197v2 30 Sep 2002

hep-th/0207197
KEK-TH-836
TIT/HEP-481
July, 2002

Supersymmetric action of multiple D0-branes from Matrix theory

Masako Asano *

Theory Division, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies
KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization
Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801 Japan

and

Yasuhiro Sekino †

Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550 Japan

ABSTRACT

We study one-loop effective action of Berkooz-Douglas Matrix theory and obtain non-abelian action of D0-branes in the longitudinal 5-brane background. In this paper, we extend the analysis of hep-th/0201248 and calculate the part of the effective action containing fermions. We show that the effective action is manifestly invariant under the loop-corrected SUSY transformation, and give the explicit transformation laws. The effective action consists of blocks which are closed under the SUSY, and it includes the supersymmetric completion of the couplings to the longitudinal 5-branes proposed by Taylor and Van Raamsdonk as a subset.

---

*E-mail address: `asano@post.kek.jp`
† E-mail address: `sekino@th.phys.titech.ac.jp`

1 Introduction

The fact that multiple D-branes are described by the matrix valued coordinates led to many surprising effects. Especially, Dp-branes are allowed to couple to Ramond-Ramond forms of higher degree than $p+1$ [1, 2]. Due to those couplings, non-commutative configurations are stabilized in the presence of certain background fields, which are interpreted as the D-branes having finite extent [2]. To study such intrinsically stringy effects, precise understanding of the effective action of multiple D-branes in background fields is needed.

It is well known that a single D-brane in the low acceleration limit is described by the Born-Infeld action which includes all the α' corrections. However, it is not clear how to generalize it to the case of multiple D-branes which have non-abelian gauge symmetries. In the flat background, the effective action of N coincident D-branes is given by the maximally supersymmetric U(N) Yang-Mills theory in the small α' limit, but the terms of higher orders in α' are not well-understood.

Supersymmetrization of multiple D-brane action including these higher order terms is also a hard problem. In the abelian case, there exists κ-symmetric formulation [3, 4] which gives a supersymmetric action after gauge fixing the world-volume diffeomorphism and the κ-symmetry [4], but an attempt to define κ-symmetry with non-abelian parameter [5] does not seem successful [6]. Studies for obtaining α' corrections to the SYM at the first few orders including the fermionic part is being done from various standpoints (see the references cited below for current status): i) from the calculation of open string disk amplitudes [6]; ii) by constructing the invariants with respect to the α'-corrected SUSY transformation [7, 8]; iii) by requiring the existence of certain BPS states which are present in string theory [9].

When we consider multiple D-branes in non-trivial backgrounds, determining the action is further difficult. Background fields should be regarded as a function of matrix fields somehow, but the principles for doing so is not clear. As for the supersymmetrization, there is practically no knowledge up to now.

Effective action of Matrix theory [10] gives insight for the multiple D-brane action. Taylor and Van Raamsdonk studied the effective action of BFSS Matrix theory in detail and found the terms which can be interpreted as the supergravity interactions. From those terms, they read off the coupling of D-branes to weak background fields, and further proposed a form of the couplings to general weak background fields [11, 1, 12, 13]. Those couplings were applied to various contexts, including the gauge-theory calculation of the absorption cross section of dilaton higher partial waves by D3-branes, which exactly reproduces the semiclassical supergravity results [14].

The subject of our paper is Matrix theory proposed by Berkooz and Douglas [15], which is the matrix model for M-theory in the presence of longitudinal(L) 5-branes. Berkooz-Douglas(BD) Matrix theory is defined by the 0-4 string and 0-0 string sectors of the SYM describing D0-D4 system. In a previous paper [16], we performed one-loop integration of 0-4 fields and obtained the bosonic part of the non-abelian action of D0-branes. We found that the action consists of the terms given from the general proposal of Taylor and Van Raamsdonk by substituting the L5-brane backgrounds, plus the corrections involving extra commutators. Since L5-branes are degrees of freedom which are not present in BFSS Matrix theory, the fact that the proposed couplings were exactly reproduced is regarded as a non-trivial evidence for the consistency of the BFSS and BD matrix models.

In this paper, extending the analysis of ref.[16], we calculate the one-loop effective action of BD Matrix theory and obtain non-abelian effective action of D0-branes including the part containing fermionic fields. Especially, we reveal the consequence of the supersymmetry of the classical action. We point out that the one-loop effective action is manifestly invariant under effective SUSY transformation. The transformation law is given simply by the one-loop expectation value of the classical SUSY transformation law. By examining the transformation rules, we decompose the terms into the blocks which close within themselves under the transformation. Among these blocks, we identify the supersymmetric completion of the bosonic terms given by the Taylor and Van Raamsdonk's proposal applied to the longitudinal 5-brane background.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the action and SUSY transformation of BD Matrix theory. In section 3, after explaining the method of the loop calculation, we present the results for the fermionic terms of the effective action. In section 4, we discuss the SUSY of the effective action. We conclude with remarks on the directions for future studies in section 5. We summarize the representation of spinors and gamma matrices adopted in this paper in Appendix A, and derive the invariance of one-loop effective action under the loop-corrected SUSY transformation in Appendix B.

2 Berkooz-Douglas Matrix theory

The action of Berkooz-Douglas Matrix theory is given by the 0-0 and 0-4 string sectors of the SYM which describe the D0-D4 bound state. In the case of N D0-branes and N_4 D4-branes, the theory has U(N) gauge symmetry and U(N_4) global symmetry (which is not gauged, for the gauge fields on D4-branes are discarded). The action is based on the D=6 $\mathcal{N}$=1 SYM and reads as follows.

$$S = S_0 + S_5 \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_0 \;=\;& \frac{1}{g_s\ell_s}\int dt\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{1}{2}D_0X_iD_0X_i+\frac{1}{4\lambda^2}[X_i,X_j]^2-i\theta_-^\dagger D_0\theta_--i\theta_+^\dagger D_0\theta_+\\ &+\frac{1}{\lambda}\theta_-^\dagger\gamma^0\gamma^a[\theta_-,X_a]+\frac{1}{\lambda}\theta_+^\dagger\gamma^0\gamma^a[\theta_+,X_a]+\frac{1}{\lambda}\theta_+^\dagger\gamma^0[\theta_-,\phi_2]-\frac{1}{\lambda}\theta_-^\dagger\gamma^0[\theta_+,\bar{\phi}_2]\\ &+\frac{1}{\lambda}\theta_+C[\theta_-,\phi_1]+\frac{1}{\lambda}\theta_+^\dagger C^*[\theta_-^\dagger,\bar{\phi}_1]\Big)\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_5 \;=\;& \frac{1}{g_s\ell_s}\int dt\,\Big((D_0v_I)^\dagger D_0v_I-\frac{1}{\lambda^2}v_I^\dagger(X_a)^2v_I-i\chi^\dagger D_0\chi-\frac{1}{\lambda}\chi^\dagger\gamma^0\gamma^aX_a\chi\\ &-\frac{1}{2\lambda^2}\{v_1^\dagger([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])v_1-v_2^\dagger([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])v_2-2v_2^\dagger([\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2])v_1\\ &+2v_1^\dagger([\phi_1,\phi_2])v_2\}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda}\{\chi^\dagger\gamma^0\theta_-v_1-\chi^\dagger C^*\theta_-^\dagger v_2-v_1^\dagger\theta_-^\dagger\gamma^0\chi+v_2^\dagger\theta_-C\chi\}\\ &-\frac{1}{2\lambda^2}\{(v_1^\dagger v_1)(v_1^\dagger v_1)+(v_2^\dagger v_2)(v_2^\dagger v_2)-2(v_1^\dagger v_2)(v_2^\dagger v_1)+4(v_1^\dagger v_1)(v_2^\dagger v_2)\}\Big)\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

where we use the indices $a,b=5,\ldots,9$ for the space transverse to the D4-branes, $m,n=1,\ldots,4$ for the space along the D4-branes, and $i,j=1,\ldots,9$ to denote both of them. Dimensionful parameter λ is defined by $\lambda=2\pi\ell_s^2$.

The part S_0 is the terms containing only the 0-0 sector fields (X_a, ϕ_1, ϕ_2, θ_-, θ_+), which is nothing but the BFSS action, i.e. the dimensional reduction of D=10, $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM. The 0-0 sector fields are in the adjoint rep. of U(N) and are singlets of U(N_4). Covariant derivatives for those fields are defined as $D_0X_i=\partial_0X_i+i[A,X_i]$. Note that we have defined the complex combination of X_m by $(\phi_1,\phi_2)=(X_1+iX_2,X_3+iX_4)$, and that the fermions are expressed as 6D Weyl spinors, which have 4 complex components. As in the previous paper [16], we do not use the SU(2) Majorana convention, for we prefer unconstrained fermions for the loop calculations. The subscripts on the spinors θ_+, θ_- denote the positive and negative 6D chiralities, respectively ($\overline{\gamma}\theta_\pm=\pm\theta_\pm$, where $\overline{\gamma}=\gamma^0\gamma^1\ldots\gamma^5$). The matrix C is the charge conjugation matrix. We also use the 'complex conjugation matrix' B. See Appendix A for our conventions for spinors and gamma matrices, the relation between 10D and 6D notations, and the definitions of C and B. We note the reader that in this paper, the transpose of the spinor indices is not indicated explicitly. For example, $\theta_+C[\theta_-,\phi_1]$ in eq.(2.2) means $\theta_+^tC[\theta_-,\phi_1]$ where t denotes the transpose of spinor indices (but not of gauge indices).

The additional part S_5 contains the 0-4 sector fields (v_I, χ). These fields are given by the hypermultiplets of the 6D theory which consist of 2 complex bosons v_I ($I=1,2$) and a complex spinor χ with positive 6D chirality ($\overline{\gamma}\chi=+\chi$). Both of them are in the bi-fundamental rep. of U(N)×U(N_4). Covariant derivatives are defined as $D_0v_I=\partial_0v_I+iAv_I$. We remark here that only half of the 0-0 sector fermions (θ_-) couple to the 0-4 sector fields.

This model has half the amount of supersymmetry as the BFSS model. The SUSY parameter η is a complex 6D spinor with negative chirality, thus the number of (real) supercharges is 8. The SUSY transformation law is given as follows. The 0-0 sector fields transform as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta A = \delta^{(0)} A = \frac{i}{\lambda}(\eta^\dagger \theta_- - \theta_-^\dagger \eta), \quad \delta X_a = \delta^{(0)} X_a = i(\eta^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^a \theta_- - \theta_-^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^a \eta), \\
&\delta\phi_1 = \delta^{(0)}\phi_1 = -2i\eta^\dagger C^* \theta_+^\dagger, \quad \delta\phi_2 = \delta^{(0)}\phi_2 = -2i\eta^\dagger \gamma^0 \theta_+, \\
&\delta\theta_+ = \delta^{(0)}\theta_+ = D_0\bar{\phi}_1 C^* \eta^\dagger + D_0 \phi_2 \gamma^0 \eta + \frac{i}{\lambda}[X_a, \bar{\phi}_1] B^* \gamma^{a*} \eta^\dagger + \frac{i}{\lambda}[X_a, \phi_2]\gamma^a \eta, \\
&\delta\theta_- = \delta^{(0)}\theta_- + \delta'\theta_-
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\delta^{(0)}\theta_- = D_0 X^a \gamma^0 \gamma^a \eta + \frac{i}{2\lambda}[X_a, X_b]\gamma^{ab}\eta - \frac{i}{2\lambda}([\phi_1, \bar{\phi}_1] + [\phi_2, \bar{\phi}_2])\eta + \frac{i}{\lambda}[\bar{\phi}_1, \bar{\phi}_2] B^* \eta^\dagger, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\delta'\theta_- = \frac{i}{\lambda}(-v_1 v_1^\dagger + v_2 v_2^\dagger)\eta - \frac{2i}{\lambda}(v_2 v_1^\dagger) B^* \eta^\dagger. \tag{2.6}$$

We have denoted $\delta^{(0)}$ the part of the transformation laws which does not contain 0-4 sector fields in the RHS. It is the same as the transformation law for the BFSS theory, except for the fact that the parameter is restricted to $\overline{\gamma}\eta = -\eta$ now. Only $\delta\theta_-$ has extra contribution $\delta'\theta_-$ containing 0-4 sector fields. The transformation law for the 0-4 sector fields is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta v_1 = i\sqrt{2}\eta^\dagger \gamma^0 \chi, \quad \delta v_2 = -i\sqrt{2}\eta C \chi, \\
&\delta\chi = -\sqrt{2}(D_0 v_2 \gamma^0 B^* \eta^\dagger + D_0 v_1 \gamma^0 \eta) - \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{\lambda} X_a \gamma^a (v_2 B^* \eta^\dagger + v_1 \eta).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

3 One-loop effective action

3.1 Method of the perturbative calculation

We obtain the effective action as the one-loop determinant (Berezinian) of the fluctuations. It is obtained from the part of the action quadratic in the fluctuations

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(\text{quad})} &= v^\dagger K_{\text{bos}} v + v^\dagger K_{\text{mix}}^\dagger \chi + \chi^\dagger K_{\text{mix}} v + \chi^\dagger K_{\text{fermi}} \chi \\
&= (v^\dagger + \chi^\dagger K_{\text{mix}} K_{\text{bos}}^{-1}) K_{\text{bos}} (v + K_{\text{bos}}^{-1} K_{\text{mix}}^\dagger \chi) + \chi^\dagger (K_{\text{fermi}} - K_{\text{mix}} K_{\text{bos}}^{-1} K_{\text{mix}}^\dagger)\chi
\end{aligned}$$

as

$$S^{(1)} = \ln \text{Det} K_{\text{bos}} - \ln \text{Det} K_{\text{fermi}} - \ln \text{Det}(1 - K_{\text{fermi}}^{-1} K_{\text{mix}} K_{\text{bos}}^{-1} K_{\text{mix}}^\dagger). \tag{3.1}$$

We perform the calculations in the Euclidean formulation ($\tau = it$, $S^{(M)} \to S^{(E)} = iS^{(M)}$) and rotate the result to Minkowski. Note that indices and the integration symbols are implicit in the above equations and eq.(3.2) below. The first two terms of (3.1) are the ones obtained in the previous paper [16]. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{mix}} &\equiv \text{Tr}\ \ln(1 - K_{\text{fermi}}^{-1} K_{\text{mix}} K_{\text{bos}}^{-1} K_{\text{mix}}^{\dagger}) \\ &= \text{Tr} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell} (K_{\text{bos}}^{-1} K_{\text{mix}}^{\dagger} K_{\text{fermi}}^{-1} K_{\text{mix}})^{\ell} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

in this paper.

The calculation is performed using a perturbative expansion. We first separate the backgrounds for bosonic matrices as

$$(X_0, X_m, X_a) = (\hat{X}_0, \hat{X}_m, r_a + \hat{X}_a) \tag{3.3}$$

where r_a are constants proportional to the identity matrix. (X_0 is the Euclidean continuation of A which is defined by $X_0 = -i\lambda A$.) We divide the action $S^{(\text{quad})}$ into the 'free' part, which does not contain $\hat{X}$ or fermion background Θ, and the interaction vertices. The free part is given by

$$S^{(\text{free})} = \frac{1}{g_s \ell_s} \int d\tau \left\{ v^{\dagger} \left(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + \frac{r^2}{\lambda^2} \right) v + \chi^{\dagger} \left(-\partial_{\tau} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{\gamma}^a r_a \right) \chi \right\} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}^a = \gamma^0 \gamma^a$. The propagators are determined from (3.4) as

$$\langle v_{I,A\tilde{A}}(\tau) v_{J,B\tilde{B}}^{\dagger}(\tau') \rangle = (g_s \ell_s) \delta^{IJ} \delta^{AB} \delta^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{e^{ik(\tau - \tau')}}{k^2 + r'^2} \equiv (g_s \ell_s) \delta^{IJ} \delta^{AB} \delta^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \Delta(\tau - \tau'). \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{\alpha,A\tilde{A}}(\tau) \chi_{\beta,B\tilde{B}}^{\dagger}(\tau') \rangle &= (g_s \ell_s) \delta^{AB} \delta^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{e^{ik(\tau - \tau')}}{k^2 + r'^2} (\not{r}' + ik)_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= (g_s \ell_s) \delta^{AB} \delta^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} (\partial_{\tau} + \not{r}')_{\alpha\beta} \Delta(\tau - \tau') \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where A,B are the U(N) indices, $\tilde{A}$,$\tilde{B}$ are the U(N_4) indices and $\alpha, \beta, \ldots (= 1, \ldots, 8)$ are the 6D spinor indices. We have also defined $r' = r/\lambda$ and $\not{r}' = \tilde{\gamma}^a r_a / \lambda$.

Boson-boson vertices are

$$S_{\text{bos}}^{(\text{int})} = \frac{1}{g_s \ell_s} \int d\tau \, \frac{1}{\lambda^2} v_I^{\dagger} V_{IJ}(\tau) v_J \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{11} = (2 r_a \hat{X}_a + \hat{X}_a^2 + \hat{X}_0^2 - i\lambda \partial_{\tau} \hat{X}_0 - 2i\lambda \hat{X}_0 \partial_{\tau}) + \frac{1}{2}([\phi_1, \bar{\phi}_1] + [\phi_2, \bar{\phi}_2]) \\ &V_{22} = (2 r_a \hat{X}_a + \hat{X}_a^2 + \hat{X}_0^2 - i\lambda \partial_{\tau} \hat{X}_0 - 2i\lambda \hat{X}_0 \partial_{\tau}) - \frac{1}{2}([\phi_1, \bar{\phi}_1] + [\phi_2, \bar{\phi}_2]) \\ &V_{12} = [\phi_1, \phi_2], \quad V_{21} = -[\bar{\phi}_1, \bar{\phi}_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Fermion-fermion vertices are

$$S^{(\mathrm{int})}_{\mathrm{fermi}} = \frac{1}{g_s \ell_s} \int d\tau \, \frac{1}{\lambda} \chi^\dagger (\tilde{\gamma}^a \hat{X}_a - i\hat{X}_0)\chi \tag{3.9}$$

Boson-fermion mixed vertices are

$$S^{(\mathrm{int})}_{\mathrm{mix}} = \frac{1}{g_s \ell_s} \int d\tau \, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda} \big\{ \chi^\dagger_\alpha L_{I\alpha} v_I + v^\dagger_I L^\dagger_{I\alpha} \chi_\alpha \big\} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1\alpha} = (\gamma^0 \theta_-)_\alpha, &\quad L^\dagger_{1\alpha} = -(\theta^\dagger_- \gamma^0)_\alpha, \\ L_{2\alpha} = -(C^* \theta^\dagger_-)_\alpha, &\quad L^\dagger_{2\alpha} = (\theta_- C)_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The quadratic part of the action is given by $S^{(\mathrm{quad})} = S^{(\mathrm{free})} + S^{(\mathrm{int})}_{\mathrm{bos}} + S^{(\mathrm{int})}_{\mathrm{fermi}} + S^{(\mathrm{int})}_{\mathrm{mix}}$, and the effective action is derived from the general expression (3.2). Note that K_{bos} (or K_{fermi}) is read from (3.4) and (3.7) (or from (3.4) and (3.9)). Also, K_{mix} is read from (3.10).

We treat the vertices as an expansion around a reference time τ and obtain the effective action as a double expansion in the number of vertices and the number of derivatives, following the procedure described in ref.[16]. Explicit form of the two-fermion (two L) terms of the effective action is given as[‡]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\theta^2} \;=\; & \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{D_i=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n+m+2} \frac{1}{D_i!} \right) (-1)^{(n+m)} \frac{2}{\lambda^{m+2n+2}} \\ & \times \int d\tau \, \mathrm{Tr} \left(V_{I_1,I_2} V^{(D_2)}_{I_2,I_3} \cdots V^{(D_n)}_{I_n,I_{n+1}} L^{\dagger (D_{n+m+1})}_{I_{n+1},\alpha} \hat{X}^{(D_{n+1})}_{a_1} \cdots \hat{X}^{(D_{n+m})}_{a_m} L^{(D_{m+n+2})}_{I_1,\beta} \right) \\ & \times \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \Bigg[\frac{1}{k^2 + r'^2} (i\partial_k)^{D_2} \Big\{ \frac{1}{k^2 + r'^2} \cdots (i\partial_k)^{D_{n+m+1}} \Big\{ \frac{\not{r}' + ik}{k^2 + r'^2} \tilde{\gamma}^{a_1} (i\partial_k)^{D_{n+1}} \Big\{ \\ & \cdots \tilde{\gamma}^{a_m} (i\partial_k)^{D_{n+m}} \Big\{ \frac{\not{r}' + ik}{k^2 + r'^2} (i\partial_k)^{D_{n+m+2}} \frac{1}{k^2 + r'^2} \Big\}\Big\}\Big\}\Big\} \Bigg]_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

For $D_i = 0$, this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{(d=0)}_{\theta^2} \;=\; & \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{(n+m)} \frac{2}{\lambda^{m+2n+2}} \int d\tau \, \mathrm{Tr} \left(V_{I_1,I_2} \cdots V_{I_n,I_{n+1}} L^\dagger_{I_{n+1},\alpha} \hat{X}_{a_1} \cdots \hat{X}_{a_m} L_{I_1,\beta} \right) \\ & \times \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{(k^2 + r'^2)^n} \left(\frac{\not{r}' + ik}{k^2 + r'^2} \tilde{\gamma}^{a_1} \frac{\not{r}' + ik}{k^2 + r'^2} \cdots \tilde{\gamma}^{a_m} \frac{\not{r}' + ik}{k^2 + r'^2} \right)_{\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{k^2 + r'^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

In the above equations, we have assumed $\hat{X}_0 = 0$. The dependence of the effective action on $\hat{X}_0$ can be recovered by replacing ∂_τ with the covariant derivative $\partial_\tau + i[\hat{X}_0, \;]$, or can be directly calculated with a slight modification of the above prescription due to the presence of the derivative interaction $v_I \hat{X}_0 \partial_\tau v_I$.

[‡] see ref.[16] for the terms which do not contain fermions and for more details on the derivation.

3.2 Fermionic terms of the one-loop effective action

Following the method explained above, we calculate the part of the effective action containing fermionic backgrounds. We describe the θ^2 terms in detail in section 3.2.1, and briefly discuss the terms with more θ's in section 3.2.2. The results are presented in Euclidean signature. The rule for obtaining the Minkowskian effective action from Γ given below is to replace $\int d\tau$ with $\int dt$, and D_τ with $-iD_0$.

Interaction starts at $1/r^3$ order. Note that only θ_- (but not θ_+) appear non-trivially in the effective action, for only θ_- couple to the quantum fields v or χ as we have seen in section 2.

3.2.1 θ^2 terms

We summarize the result of θ^2 terms of the effective action $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(\hat{X}_i^N, d)$ up to $N+d<4$, where N and d are the numbers of $\hat{X}_i$'s and derivatives contained in Γ, respectively. We present the result by assembling the terms with the same property.

There are two sets of terms which can be regarded as having similar structures as the θ_- part of the classical action:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}0)_A \;=\; & -\frac{N_4}{4}\frac{1}{r^3}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-]-[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-^\dagger]\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-) && (3.14)\\
& +\frac{3N_4}{4}\frac{r_b}{r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-]-[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-^\dagger]\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-\;;\;\hat{X}_b) && (3.15)\\
& +\frac{3N_4}{8}\frac{1}{r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-]-[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-^\dagger]\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-\;;\;(\hat{X}_b)^2) && (3.16)\\
& -\frac{15N_4}{8}\frac{r_br_c}{r^7}\int d\tau\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-]-[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-^\dagger]\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-\;;\;\hat{X}_b,\hat{X}_c), && (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}1)_A \;=\; & \frac{N_4\lambda}{4}\frac{1}{r^3}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger D_\tau\theta_- - D_\tau\theta_-^\dagger\theta_-) && (3.18)\\
& -\frac{3N_4\lambda}{4}\frac{r_b}{r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger D_\tau\theta_- - D_\tau\theta_-^\dagger\theta_-\;;\;\hat{X}_b) && (3.19)\\
& -\frac{3N_4\lambda}{8}\frac{1}{r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger D_\tau\theta_- - D_\tau\theta_-^\dagger\theta_-\;;\;(\hat{X}_b)^2) && (3.20)\\
& +\frac{15N_4\lambda}{8}\frac{r_br_c}{r^7}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger D_\tau\theta_- - D_\tau\theta_-^\dagger\theta_-\;;\;\hat{X}_b,\hat{X}_c). && (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{STr}(K_1\cdots K_m;y_1,y_2,\cdots,y_n)$ means that the trace operation is taken after symmetrizing all K_i's and y_j's but keeping the location of K_1 and the order of K_i's. Note that each K_i is $\hat{X}_a$, $\hat{X}_m$, θ_-, or commutators (or covariant derivatives) of them. For example,

$$\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger D_\tau\theta_-\;;\;\hat{X}_b,\hat{X}_c) \;=\; \frac{1}{6}\mathrm{Tr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger D_\tau\theta_-\hat{X}_b\hat{X}_c+\theta_-^\dagger\hat{X}_b\hat{X}_cD_\tau\theta_-+\theta_-^\dagger\hat{X}_bD_\tau\theta_-\hat{X}_c$$

$$+\theta_-^\dagger D_\tau\theta_-\hat{X}_c\hat{X}_b + \theta_-^\dagger\hat{X}_c\hat{X}_b D_\tau\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger\hat{X}_c D_\tau\theta_-\hat{X}_b).$$

The terms in $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!0)_A$ and $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!1)_A$ are given by the non-abelian Taylor expansion of the leading terms (3.14) and (3.18)

$$\frac{1}{r^3} \to \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{3}{r^5} r_a\hat{X}_a + \frac{1}{2}\left(-3\frac{\delta_{ab}}{r^5} + 15\frac{r_a r_b}{r^7}\right)\hat{X}_a\hat{X}_b + \cdots,$$

and following the symmetrized trace prescription [1, 2], except for the fact that (3.16) and (3.20) are of the form $\mathrm{STr}(\,*\,;(\hat{X}_b)^2)$ rather than $\mathrm{STr}(\,*\,;\hat{X}_b,\hat{X}_b)$. Further discussion on the ordering of matrices will be given in the next section when we consider the supersymmetry of the effective action.

Terms with multiple number of gamma matrices are given as follows:

$$\begin{align}
\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!0)_B \;=\; & \frac{3N_4 r_a}{16\,r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{aa_1a_2}[\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_{a_2}]\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{aa_1a_2}\theta_-[\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_{a_2}]) \tag{3.22}\\
+\,\frac{3N_4}{16\,r^5} & \int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{a_1a_2a_3}[\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_{a_2}]\hat{X}_{a_3}\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{a_1a_2a_3}\theta_-[\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_{a_2}]\hat{X}_{a_3}) \tag{3.23}\\
-\,\frac{15N_4}{16}\frac{r_a r_b}{r^7} & \int d\tau\,\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{aa_1a_2}[\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_{a_2}]\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{aa_1a_2}\theta_-[\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_{a_2}]\,;\,\hat{X}_b), \tag{3.24}
\end{align}$$

$$\begin{align}
\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!1)_B \;=\; & -\frac{3N_4\lambda}{8}\frac{r_b}{r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}D_\tau\hat{X}_a\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}\theta_- D_\tau\hat{X}_a) \tag{3.25}\\
& -\frac{3N_4\lambda}{16}\frac{1}{r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}\hat{X}_b D_\tau\hat{X}_a\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}D_\tau\hat{X}_a\hat{X}_b\theta_- \notag\\
& \qquad +\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}\theta_-\hat{X}_b D_\tau\hat{X}_a + \theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}\theta_- D_\tau\hat{X}_a\hat{X}_b) \tag{3.26}\\
& +\frac{15N_4\lambda}{8}\frac{r_b r_c}{r^7}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{STr}\,(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ac}D_\tau\hat{X}_a\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ac}\theta_- D_\tau\hat{X}_a\,;\,\hat{X}_b). \tag{3.27}
\end{align}$$

In addition, there are commutator corrections to $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!0,1)_{A,B}$:

$$\begin{align}
\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!0)_C \;=\; & \frac{3N_4}{16r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^b[\hat{X}_a,[\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_b]]\theta_- - \theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^b\theta_-[\hat{X}_a,[\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_b]]) \tag{3.28}\\
\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!1)_C \;=\; & \frac{3N_4\lambda}{16r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}(\theta_-^\dagger[[\hat{X}_a,\theta_-],D_\tau\hat{X}_a] + [[\theta_-^\dagger,\hat{X}_a],D_\tau\hat{X}_a]\theta_-) \tag{3.29}\\
& +\frac{N_4\lambda}{32r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}(D_\tau\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}[[\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_b],\theta_-] - [\theta_-^\dagger,[\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_b]]\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}D_\tau\theta_-) \tag{3.30}
\end{align}$$

Terms containing $\hat{X}_m$ are collected as:

$$\begin{align}
\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!0)_\phi \;=\; & -\frac{3N_4 r_a}{16\,r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\Theta_-^\dagger[\hat{X}_m,\hat{X}_n]\Gamma^{0a}\Gamma^{mn}\Theta_-) \tag{3.31}\\
& -\frac{3N_4}{16\,r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\Theta_-^\dagger[\hat{X}_m,\hat{X}_n]\Gamma^{0a}\Gamma^{mn}\Theta_-\hat{X}_a) \tag{3.32}\\
& +\frac{15N_4}{16}\frac{r_a r_b}{r^7}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{STr}\,(\Theta_-^\dagger[\hat{X}_m,\hat{X}_n]\Gamma^{0a}\Gamma^{mn}\Theta_-\,;\,\hat{X}_b), \tag{3.33}\\
\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d\!=\!1)_\phi \;=\; & \frac{N_4\lambda}{16\,r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(D_\tau\Theta_-^\dagger[\hat{X}_m,\hat{X}_n]\Gamma^{mn}\Theta_- - \Theta_-^\dagger[\hat{X}_m,\hat{X}_n]\Gamma^{mn}D_\tau\Theta_-). \tag{3.34}
\end{align}$$

Note that we have used the notation of 10D gamma matrices and spinors to write (3.31)-(3.34). The expressions in 6D notation is given by substituting the particular representation (A.2) and (A.3) of 10D gamma matrices and the parametrization (A.4) of Majorana-Weyl spinor Θ setting $\theta_+ = 0$. For example, (3.31) is written in 6D notation as

$$+\frac{3N_4 r_a}{16\, r^5}\int d\tau\, \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])+\theta_-^\dagger([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- \\ -2\theta_- B[\phi_1,\phi_2]\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- - 2\theta_-^\dagger[\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]\tilde{\gamma}^a B^*\theta_-^\dagger\Big\}.$$

Terms in $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}0)_B$, $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}1)_B$ and $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}0)_\phi$ have the structure of non-abelian Taylor expansion of r_a/r^5:

$$\frac{r_a}{r^5}\to\frac{r_a}{r^5}+\left(\frac{\delta_{ab}}{r^5}-5\frac{r_a r_b}{r^7}\right)\hat{X}_b+\cdots.$$

They obey symmetrized trace prescription except for $1/r^5$-terms (3.23), (3.26) and (3.32), as in the case of $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d=0,1)_A$.

There also exist terms with two derivatives:

$$\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}2)=\frac{N_4\lambda^2}{8r^5}\int d\tau\, \mathrm{Tr}(D_\tau\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a[\hat{X}_a,D_\tau\theta_-]-2\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a[D_\tau^2\hat{X}_a,\theta_-]). \tag{3.35}$$

This completes the analysis of $\Gamma_{\theta^2}(\hat{X}_i^N,d)$ for $N+d<4$.

To summarize, we obtained

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\theta^2} \;=\;& \Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}0,1)_A+\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}0,1)_B+\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}0,1)_\phi \\ &+\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}0,1)_C+\Gamma_{\theta^2}(d{=}2)\quad+\mathcal{O}(D_\tau^d\hat{X}^N\theta^2;N{+}d\geq 4)\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

for two-fermion part of the one-loop effective action.

3.2.2 θ^{2n} terms

The leading terms of $\Gamma_{\theta^{2n}}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\theta^{2n}}((\hat{X})^0,d{=}0) \;=\;& N_4\left(\frac{2}{\lambda^2}\right)^n\frac{1}{n}\int d\tau\, \mathrm{Tr}(L^\dagger_{I_n\alpha_1}L_{I_1\beta_1}L^\dagger_{I_1\alpha_2}L_{I_2\beta_2}\cdots L^\dagger_{I_{n-1}\alpha_n}L^\dagger_{I_n\beta_n}) \\ &\times\int\frac{dk}{2\pi}\left[\left(\frac{\not{r}'+ik}{k^2+r^2}\right)_{\alpha_1\beta_1}\left(\frac{\not{r}'+ik}{k^2+r^2}\right)_{\alpha_2\beta_2}\cdots\left(\frac{\not{r}'+ik}{k^2+r^2}\right)_{\alpha_n\beta_n}\right] \\ \propto\;& \frac{N_4\lambda^{n-1}}{r^{3n-1}}\int d\tau\, \mathrm{Tr}(\theta_-^{2n}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

For example, four-fermion terms without X_i insertions are given as

$$\Gamma_{\theta^4}((\hat{X})^0,d{=}0)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -\frac{N_4\lambda}{16}\frac{1}{r^5}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[(\theta_-^\dagger\theta_-)(\theta_-^\dagger\theta_-)+(\theta_-\theta_-^\dagger)(\theta_-\theta_-^\dagger)-2(\theta_-B\theta_-)(\theta_-^\dagger B^*\theta_-^\dagger)\right] \\
& +\frac{5N_4\lambda}{16}\frac{r_a r_b}{r^7}\int d\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big[(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}_a\theta_-)(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}_b\theta_-)+(\theta_-\tilde{\gamma}_a^*\theta_-^\dagger)(\theta_-\tilde{\gamma}_b^*\theta_-^\dagger) \\
& \qquad -2(\theta_-B\tilde{\gamma}_a\theta_-)(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}_b B^*\theta_-^\dagger)\Big]. \qquad (3.38)
\end{aligned}
$$

This does not vanish unlike the case of Γ_{θ^2}.

4 Supersymmetry of the effective action

In this section, we discuss the supersymmetry of the effective action. The one-loop effective action which was obtained by integrating out the 0-4 sector fields satisfies the Ward identity corresponding to the SUSY invariance of the classical action of BD Matrix theory. As we show in Appendix B, at the one-loop level, the Ward identity can be written in the form

$$
\delta^{(1)}S_0+\delta^{(0)}S^{(1)}=0 \qquad (4.1)
$$

which states the invariance of the effective action under an effective SUSY transformation.

Let us explain the meaning of (4.1). The tree action S_0 is the part of BD action containing only the 0-0 sector fields which is given in (2.2) and is the same as the BFSS action. It is invariant under the SUSY transformation which does not involve 0-4 sector fields $\delta^{(0)}S_0 = 0$. The transformation law for $\delta^{(0)}$, which we call the tree-level SUSY transformation is given by (2.4) with a replacement $X_a \to \hat{X}_a$. Remember that we have divided the background X_a as $X_a = r_a + \hat{X}_a$ in (3.3). In our construction, only $\hat{X}_a$ is transformed and r_a is kept *fixed.*[§] The discussion in Appendix B shows that $\delta^{(1)}$ is given simply by the one-loop expectation value of the SUSY transformation for the classical action. Since only $\delta\theta_-$ has the part containing the quantum fields ($\delta'\theta_-$ defined in (2.6)), only $\delta^{(1)}\theta_-$ is non-zero and is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{(1)}\theta_- &= \langle\delta'\theta_-\rangle \\
&= \frac{i}{\lambda}\left[\big(-\langle v_1v_1^\dagger\rangle+\langle v_2v_2^\dagger\rangle\big)\eta_- -2\langle v_2v_1^\dagger\rangle B^*\eta^\dagger\right]. \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

[§] This assignment is possible without losing generality. Note that we have not imposed the tracelessness for $\hat{X}_a$.

4.1 Explicit forms of the one-loop corrected SUSY transformation

We give the one-loop corrected SUSY transformation of θ_- by explicitly calculating (4.2). Results at order $1/r^3$ and $1/r^4$ are given respectively as

$$\frac{1}{g_s l_s}\delta^{(1)}\theta_-|_{1/r^3} = i\frac{N_4}{4r^3}\Big\{([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])\eta - 2[\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{align} \frac{1}{g_s l_s}\delta^{(1)}\theta_-|_{1/r^4} \;=\; & -i\,\frac{3N_4}{4}\frac{r_a}{r^5}\Big\{\mathrm{Sym}([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2]\,;\,\hat{X}_a)\eta \nonumber\\ & \qquad -2\,\mathrm{Sym}([\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]\,;\,\hat{X}_a)B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\} \tag{4.4}\\ & +i\,\frac{3N_4\lambda}{8}\frac{r_a}{r^5}\Big\{(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- - \theta_-\tilde{\gamma}^{a*}\theta_-^\dagger)\eta - 2(\theta_- B\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-)B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\}. \tag{4.5} \end{align}$$

Here $\mathrm{Sym}(K_1\cdots K_m;y_1,\cdots,y_n)$ is the symmetrization of all K_i's and y_j's without changing the order of K_i's. Note that there is a relation

$$\mathrm{STr}(K_1K_2\cdots K_m;\,*\,) = \mathrm{Tr}\,(K_1\mathrm{Sym}(K_2\cdots K_m;\,*\,)).$$

For order $1/r^5$, we divide the result into two parts $\delta^{(1)}\theta_-|_{1/r^5} = \delta^{(1)}\theta_-|^{(a)}_{1/r^5} + \delta^{(1)}\theta_-|^{(b)}_{1/r^5}$:

$$\begin{align} & \frac{1}{g_s l_s}\delta^{(1)}\theta_-|^{(a)}_{1/r^5} \nonumber\\ & = -i\,\frac{3N_4}{8\,r^5}\Big\{\mathrm{Sym}([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2]\,;\,(\hat{X}_a)^2)\eta - 2\,\mathrm{Sym}([\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]\,;\,(\hat{X}_a)^2)B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\} \tag{4.6}\\ & +i\,\frac{15N_4}{8}\frac{r_ar_b}{r^7}\Big\{\mathrm{Sym}([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2]\,;\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_b)\eta - 2\,\mathrm{Sym}([\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]\,;\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_b)B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\} \tag{4.7}\\ & +i\,\frac{3N_4\lambda}{8\,r^5}\Big\{(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^b\hat{X}_b\theta_- - \theta_-\hat{X}_b\tilde{\gamma}^{b*}\theta_-^\dagger)\eta - 2(\theta_- B\tilde{\gamma}^b\hat{X}_b\theta_-)B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\} \tag{4.8}\\ & -i\,\frac{15N_4\lambda}{8}\frac{r_ar_b}{r^7}\Big\{\mathrm{Sym}(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- - \theta_-\tilde{\gamma}^{a*}\theta_-^\dagger\,;\hat{X}_b)\eta - 2\,\mathrm{Sym}(\theta_- B\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-\,;\hat{X}_b)B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\} \tag{4.9} \end{align}$$

and

$$\begin{align} & \frac{1}{g_s l_s}\delta^{(1)}\theta_-|^{(b)}_{1/r^5} \nonumber\\ & \quad = i\,\frac{3N_4}{16\,r^5}\Big\{([[\phi_1,\phi_2],[\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]])\eta + [[\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2],([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])]B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\} \tag{4.10}\\ & \quad -i\,\frac{N_4\lambda^2}{16\,r^5}\Big\{D_0^2([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])\eta - 2\,D_0^2([\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2])B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\} \tag{4.11}\\ & \quad -\frac{N_4\lambda^2}{4\,r^5}\Big\{(\theta_-^\dagger D_0\theta_- - D_0\theta_-\theta_-^\dagger)\eta - 2\,(\theta_- BD_0\theta_-)B^*\eta^\dagger\Big\}. \tag{4.12} \end{align}$$

Note that (4.3), (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7) correspond to the first three terms of non-abelian Taylor expansion of $1/r^3$ around r_a. Also, (4.5), (4.8) and (4.9) correspond to the expansion of r_a/r^5.

Our corrected SUSY transformation closes to the translation plus a field dependent gauge transformation [17]. By using the equations of motion for the effective action $S_0 + S^{(1)}$, we have shown

$$[\delta_\epsilon^{(0)} + \delta_\epsilon^{(1)}, \delta_\eta^{(0)} + \delta_\eta^{(1)}]\,\phi\Big|_{1/r^4} \sim (D_M\phi\,\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^M\eta) + (\text{EOM for } \phi) + \mathcal{O}((g_s l_s)^2)$$

up to the order $1/r^4$ for any field ϕ in $S_0 + S^{(1)}$.

4.2 On the structure of the supersymmetric action

In this subsection, we try to clarify the structure of the supersymmetric action which we have obtained, by identifying the blocks which transform within themselves. Firstly, since r_a is kept fixed in the effective SUSY transformation, the invariance of the one-loop effective action (4.1) holds at each order of $1/r$. In addition, the effective action at order $1/r^5$ is further divided into two invariant blocks.

We shall examine the θ^0- and θ^2-terms at each order of $1/r$[¶]. We include the bosonic terms obtained in the previous paper [16]. Order $1/r^3$ terms of the effective action

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{1/r^3} \;=\; & \frac{\lambda}{r^3}\int dt \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\frac{1}{4}D_0\hat{X}_a D_0\hat{X}_a + \frac{1}{8\lambda^2}[\hat{X}_a, \hat{X}_b][\hat{X}_a, \hat{X}_b] - \frac{1}{4\lambda^2}[\phi_1, \phi_2][\bar{\phi}_1, \bar{\phi}_2] \\ & + \frac{1}{16\lambda^2}([\phi_1, \bar{\phi}_1] + [\phi_2, \bar{\phi}_2])^2 - \frac{i}{2}\theta_-^\dagger D_0\theta_- - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a[\hat{X}_a, \theta_-]\Big\}. \qquad (4.13)\end{aligned}$$

satisfy (4.1) along with (4.3).

Order $1/r^4$ terms of the effective action

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{1/r^4} \;=\; & -3N_4\lambda\frac{r_a}{r^5}\int dt\Big\{\mathrm{STr}\Big(\frac{1}{4}D_0\hat{X}_c D_0\hat{X}_c + \frac{1}{8\lambda^2}[\hat{X}_c, \hat{X}_d][\hat{X}_c, \hat{X}_d] \\ & \qquad - \frac{1}{4\lambda^2}[\phi_1, \phi_2][\bar{\phi}_1, \bar{\phi}_2] + \frac{1}{16\lambda^2}([\phi_1, \bar{\phi}_1] + [\phi_2, \bar{\phi}_2])^2\,;\hat{X}_a\Big) \\ & + \frac{i}{16\lambda}\epsilon_{aa_1a_2a_3a_4}\mathrm{STr}([\hat{X}_{a_2}, \hat{X}_{a_3}]D_0\hat{X}_{a_4}\,;\hat{X}_{a_1}) \\ & + \mathrm{STr}\Big(-\frac{i}{4}(\theta_-^\dagger D_0\theta_- - D_0\theta_-^\dagger\theta_-) - \frac{1}{4\lambda}(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^c[\hat{X}_c, \theta_-] - [\hat{X}_c, \theta_-^\dagger]\tilde{\gamma}^c\theta_-)\,;\hat{X}_a\Big) \\ & + \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{i}{8}(D_0\hat{X}_b\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger D_0\hat{X}_b\tilde{\gamma}^{ab}\theta_-) \\ & \qquad - \frac{1}{16\lambda}([\hat{X}_b, \hat{X}_c]\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{abc}\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger[\hat{X}_b, \hat{X}_c]\tilde{\gamma}^{abc}\theta_-)\end{aligned}$$

[¶] Note that Γ which are discussed in this section denote the parts of the Minkowskian effective action $S^{(1)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{16\lambda}\{(([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- &+ \theta_-^\dagger([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- \\
&-2[\phi_1,\phi_2]\theta_- B\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- - 2[\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]\theta_-^\dagger B^*\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-^\dagger\}\Big)\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

satisfy (4.1) along with (4.4), (4.5).

By examining $\delta^{(0)}S^{(1)}$, we find that the following terms of the $1/r^5$ effective action transform among themselves (with a certain class of terms in $\delta^{(1)}S_0$).

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{(\mathrm{I})}_{1/r^5} = N_4\lambda\left(\frac{15r_ar_b}{2r^7}-\frac{3\delta_{ab}}{2r^5}\right)\int dt\Big\{&\mathrm{STr}\Big(\frac{1}{4}D_0\hat{X}_cD_0\hat{X}_c+\frac{1}{8\lambda^2}[\hat{X}_c,\hat{X}_d][\hat{X}_c,\hat{X}_d] \\
&-\frac{1}{4\lambda^2}[\phi_1,\phi_2][\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]+\frac{1}{16\lambda^2}([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])^2\,;\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_b\Big) \\
&+\frac{i}{10\lambda}\epsilon_{aa_1a_2a_3a_4}\,\mathrm{STr}([\hat{X}_{a_2},\hat{X}_{a_3}]D_0\hat{X}_{a_4}\,;\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_b) \\
&+\mathrm{STr}\Big(-\frac{i}{4}(\theta_-^\dagger D_0\theta_- - D_0\theta_-^\dagger\theta_-)-\frac{1}{4\lambda}(\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^c[\hat{X}_c,\theta_-]-[\hat{X}_c,\theta_-^\dagger]\tilde{\gamma}^c\theta_-)\,;\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_b\Big) \\
&+\frac{i}{4}\,\mathrm{STr}(D_0\hat{X}_c\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{ac}\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger D_0\hat{X}_c\tilde{\gamma}^{ac}\theta_-\,;\hat{X}_b) \\
&-\frac{1}{8\lambda}\,\mathrm{STr}([\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_{a_2}]\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^{aa_1a_2}\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger[\hat{X}_{a_1},\hat{X}_{a_2}]\tilde{\gamma}^{aa_1a_2}\theta_-\,;\hat{X}_b) \\
&-\frac{1}{8\lambda}\,\mathrm{STr}\Big(([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])\theta_-^\dagger\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- + \theta_-^\dagger([\phi_1,\bar{\phi}_1]+[\phi_2,\bar{\phi}_2])\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- \\
&\qquad -2[\phi_1,\phi_2]\theta_- B\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_- - 2[\bar{\phi}_1,\bar{\phi}_2]\theta_-^\dagger B^*\tilde{\gamma}^a\theta_-^\dagger\,;\hat{X}_b\Big)\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

The other block $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{II})}_{1/r^5}$ consists of the rest of the terms of $1/r^5$ effective action. They are written with extra number of commutators compared to (4.15). We do not present all the terms explicitly, but give an example. The part which contain six $\hat{X}_a$'s (and no derivatives or fermions) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{(\mathrm{II})}_{1/r^5}|_{\hat{X}_a^6} = -\frac{3N_4}{2r^5}\int dt\Big\{&-\frac{1}{24\lambda}\mathrm{Tr}([\hat{X}_a,[\hat{X}_b,\hat{X}_c]][\hat{X}_a,[\hat{X}_b,\hat{X}_c]]) \qquad (4.16) \\
&+\frac{1}{12\lambda}\mathrm{Tr}([\hat{X}_b,[\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_c]][\hat{X}_a,[\hat{X}_b,\hat{X}_c]])-\frac{1}{24\lambda}\mathrm{Tr}([\hat{X}_a,[\hat{X}_a,\hat{X}_c]][\hat{X}_b,[\hat{X}_b,\hat{X}_c]])\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Criterion for discriminating $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{I})}_{1/r^5}$ and $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{II})}_{1/r^5}$ is given by the following number associated with each term:

$$n = d+\frac{1}{2}n_\theta+n_c. \tag{4.17}$$

Here, d is the number of derivatives, n_θ is the number of fermions and n_c is the number of commutators *in the symmetrized trace.* (Symmetrization is applied regarding the commutator as a single unit.) Under $\delta^{(0)}S^{(1)}$, the number n is preserved (uniformly increase by 1/2) as we see from the transformation law (2.4), (2.5). Also, terms in $\delta^{(1)}S_0$ are classified in the same way. Thus, terms which are connected by SUSY transformation must have the same n. The first two terms of the RHS of (4.17) is called the 'order' [18] and used to specify the SUSY invariants in the case of abelian v.e.v. Our discussion here is the non-abelian generalization of that concept. Terms in Γ_{1/r^3}, $\Gamma_{1/r4}$ and $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{I})}_{1/r^5}$ have $n = 2$, and terms in $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{II})}_{1/r^5}$ have $n = 4$.

We note here that the bosonic terms in Γ_{1/r^3}, $\Gamma_{1/r4}$ and $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{I})}_{1/r^5}$ are the ones which result from the Taylor and Van Raamsdonk's proposal when we apply it to L5-brane background. We can see that the proposed currents which couple to SUGRA fields have $n = 2$, from the explicit forms in ref.[1, 16]. Bosonic terms of the matrix expressions for multipole moments are obtained from the currents by inserting $\hat{X}_i$ with symmetric ordering, thus also have $n = 2$. For a detailed description of the Taylor and Van Raamsdonk's proposal applied to the present background, see our previous paper [16].

We also note that the fermionic terms in Γ_{1/r^3}, $\Gamma_{1/r4}$ and $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{I})}_{1/r^5}$ are not of the same forms as the ones arising from the above proposal, which is based on the analysis of BFSS model. Whether the two forms of the fermionic terms are physically equivalent or not is not clear at present.

5 Discussion

We have calculated the one-loop effective action of Berkooz-Douglas Matrix theory by integrating out the 0-4 sector fields. Extending the analysis of ref.[16], we obtained the fermionic part of the effective action of multiple D0-branes in the longitudinal 5-brane background. As we have seen in section 4, the action is manifestly invariant under an effective SUSY transformation, which is the consequence of the SUSY invariance of the classical action of BD Matrix theory. The transformation law is given by the expectation value of the SUSY transformation of classical action evaluated at one-loop order. We have studied the transformation law and identified the structure which are closed under the effective SUSY transformation.

Primary motivation of our study is to understand the dynamics and the structure of symmetries of this matrix model. In addition, we regard our analysis as a first step towards constructing the supersymmetric action of multiple D-branes in the supergravity backgrounds. We should note that from the 10D perspective, our model is nothing but the SYM which takes into account only the lowest modes of open strings. Thus, the effective action is guaranteed to be valid only when the distance between D0-branes and D4-branes is short. However, some of the terms in the effective action continues to be valid when the separation becomes large. It is well known that for the $(\partial_t \hat{X}_i)^2$-term in the abelian case, the SYM effective action gives exact results, due to the cancellation of the open-string higher modes [19]. It may be the case that same kind of non-renormalization properties exist for all the other $n = 2$ terms which we identified in section 4.2. Further analysis of the terms in this class with higher orders in θ or $1/r$ should be important in this regard.

Of course, to determine which terms of the SYM effective action is valid for large separation, we must study the cylinder amplitudes between the branes, or prove non-renormalization theorems in SYM. For the case of multiple branes, both of them are not well-understood at present. Those are important subjects for future studies.

As mentioned in the introduction, κ-symmetric Born-Infeld action for a single D-brane can be written in arbitrary background, in principle. We will be able to understand the structure of the supersymmetric action further by comparing the abelian part of our effective action with the gauge-fixed Born-Infeld action of D0-branes in D4-brane background. Especially, it will be interesting if the difference between the fermionic part in the effective action of BD Matrix theory and the ones present in the proposal based on the analysis of BFSS model is explained by a difference in the gauge choice for κ-symmetry.

Two-loop study of BD Matrix model should give important implications for the connection between matrix models and gravity. In the first place, we must clarify to what extent the Matrix theory reproduces supergravity result in the case of abelian matrix background. Two-loop effective action will also shed light on the coupling of multiple D-branes to non-weak background fields.

Finally, it is an interesting problem to find classical solutions of the effective action which preserve SUSY. As argued by Myers [2], background 4-form field strength produced by D4-branes should give rise to non-abelian configuration of D0-branes. It will be possible to discuss the BPS property of those configurations from the effective action which we have obtained.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Y. Kazama, T. Muramatsu, N. Sakai and T. Yoneya for discussions and comments.

A Convention for the representations of spinors and gamma matrices

In this paper, we mainly use the complex 6D Weyl spinors. We summarize here our conventions for spinors and gamma matrices. The fermions from the 0-0 sector are given by Majorana-Weyl spinors in 10D. We explain that the fermionic terms in S_0 in (2.2) is given from the familiar 10D notation by choosing an explicit representation.

We consider fermionic matrix field Θ which satisfy the 10D Majorana-Weyl condition

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{(10)}\Theta = \Theta, \qquad \Theta^\dagger = B^{(10)}\Theta \tag{A.1}$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}^{(10)} = \Gamma^0\Gamma^1\cdots\Gamma^9$ is the 10D chirality matrix, and $B^{(10)}$ is defined by

$$B^{(10)}\Gamma^M B^{(10)-1} = -\Gamma^{M*} \qquad (M = 0, \ldots, 9)$$

We make the SO(5,1)×SO(4) decomposition of the 10D gamma matrices as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\mu &= \gamma^\mu \otimes \hat{\gamma} \qquad (\mu = 0, 5, \ldots, 9) \\ \Gamma^m &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \hat{\gamma}^m \qquad (m = 1, \ldots, 4) \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where we have defined $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{\gamma}^1\hat{\gamma}^2\hat{\gamma}^3\hat{\gamma}^4$. The SO(5,1) gamma matrices γ^μ have 8×8 components and the SO(4) gamma matrices $\hat{\gamma}^m$ have 4×4 components. We further assume the explicit representation for the SO(4) gamma matrices

$$\hat{\gamma}^m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^m \\ -\bar{\sigma}^m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.3}$$

where σ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are Pauli matrices, and $\sigma_4 = i\mathbb{1}$. We also define $\bar{\sigma}_i = -\sigma_i$ and $\bar{\sigma}_4 = \sigma_4$.

The chirality in 10D is the product of 6D and 4D chiralities, and in the above representation of gamma matrices,

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{(10)} = \overline{\gamma} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{2\times 2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma^0\gamma^5\cdots\gamma^9$ is the 6D chirality matrix. Consequently, 10D Weyl spinor Θ is decomposed into two pairs of 6D Weyl spinors

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{+,1} \\ \theta_{+,2} \\ \theta_{-,1} \\ \theta_{-,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $B^{(10)}$ also allows the SO(5,1)×SO(4) decomposition

$$B^{(10)} = B^{(6)} \otimes B^{(4)}$$

where $B^{(6)}$ and $B^{(4)}$ satisfy

$$B^{(6)}\gamma^\mu B^{(6)-1} = -\gamma^{\mu *}, \qquad B^{(4)}\hat{\gamma}^m B^{(4)-1} = -\hat{\gamma}^{m*}.$$

We note here the relation for $B^{(6)}$

$$B^{(6)T} = -B^{(6)}, \qquad B^{(6)*} = -B^{(6)-1}$$

In the text, we omit the superscript (6) on $B^{(6)}$.

In the representation (A.3), $B^{(4)}$ is given by

$$B^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

thus, 10D Majorana condition (A.1) in 10D becomes the SU(2) Majorana condition in 6D.

$$\Theta^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \theta^\dagger_{+,1} \\ \theta^\dagger_{+,2} \\ \theta^\dagger_{-,1} \\ \theta^\dagger_{-,2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B^{(6)}\theta_{+,2} \\ -B^{(6)}\theta_{+,2} \\ B^{(6)}\theta_{-,2} \\ -B^{(6)}\theta_{-,1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since we prefer using unconstrained fermions to perform the loop calculations, we explicitly eliminate half of the components of Θ ($\theta_{+,2}$ and $\theta_{-,2}$) using the SU(2) Majorana conditions. That is, we take

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_+ \\ -B^{(6)*}\theta^\dagger_+ \\ \theta_- \\ -B^{(6)*}\theta^\dagger_- \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.4}$$

The fermionic part of the action in (2.2) is obtained from the 10D covariant form

$$\frac{1}{g_s\ell_s}\int dt \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{i}{2}\overline{\Theta}\Gamma^0 D_0\Theta + \frac{1}{2\lambda}\overline{\Theta}\Gamma^i[\Theta, X_i]\Big)$$

by taking the above representation of gamma matrices and substituting (A.4).

B Derivation of the SUSY invariance of the one-loop effective action

The one-loop effective action of the BD Matrix theory is given by integrating out the 0-4 sector fields from the classical action $S_0[\phi] + S_5[\phi, \varphi]$.

$$e^{-(S_0[\phi]+S^{(1)}[\phi])} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi\, e^{-(S_0[\phi]+S_5[\phi,\varphi])} \tag{B.1}$$

Note that we denote the 0-0 sector fields by ϕ and 0-4 sector fields by φ, and that the integration $\int d\tau$ is implicit throughout this appendix.

As a consequence of the SUSY invariance of the classical action, the following Ward identity holds:

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \left(\delta_\eta \phi \frac{\delta S_0[\phi]}{\delta \phi} + \delta_\eta \phi \frac{\delta S_5[\phi,\varphi]}{\delta \phi} + \delta_\eta \varphi \frac{\delta S_5[\phi,\varphi]}{\delta \varphi} \right) e^{-(S_0[\phi]+S_5[\phi,\varphi])} \tag{B.2}$$

where $\delta_\eta \phi$ and $\delta_\eta \varphi$ are the classical SUSY transformations given in (2.4)-(2.7). We shall denote the part of $\delta_\eta \phi$ which contain only the 0-0 sector fields by $\delta_\eta^{(0)}\phi$, and the part containing 0-4 sector fields by $\delta'_\eta \phi$.

We first note that the last term of (B.2) vanishes, for it is the infinitesimal form of the invariance of the integral under the change of variables

$$\int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, e^{-S_5[\phi,\varphi]} = \int \mathcal{D}(\varphi + \delta\varphi) \, e^{-S_5[\phi,\varphi+\delta\varphi]} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, e^{-S_5[\phi,\varphi+\delta\varphi]}$$

where we have taken into account the invariance of the measure.

The first term of (B.2) gives the variation of S_0 with respect to the expectation value of the classical SUSY transformation $\delta\phi$ (times $e^{-(S_0+S^{(1)})}$)

$$\int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, \delta_\eta \phi \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi} e^{-(S_0+S_5)} = (\delta_\eta^{(0)}\phi + \delta_\eta^{(1)}\phi) \frac{\delta S_0[\phi]}{\delta \phi} e^{-(S_0[\phi]+S^{(1)}[\phi])}$$

where

$$\delta_\eta^{(1)}\phi \equiv \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, \delta'_\eta \phi \, e^{-S_5}}{\int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, e^{-S_5}}. \tag{B.3}$$

Finally, if we evaluate the second term of (B.2) *to the one-loop order*, it reduces to

$$\int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, \delta_\eta \phi \frac{\delta S_5[\phi,\varphi]}{\delta \phi} e^{-(S_0[\phi]+S_5[\phi,\varphi])} = \delta_\eta^{(0)}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, \frac{\delta S_5[\phi,\varphi]}{\delta \phi} e^{-(S_0[\phi]+S_5[\phi,\varphi])}. \tag{B.4}$$

For the present model,

$$\int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, \delta'_\eta \phi \frac{\delta S_5[\phi,\varphi]}{\delta \phi} e^{-(S_0[\phi]+S_5[\phi,\varphi])} \tag{B.5}$$

which would be present in the RHS of (B.4) has at least two loops, for

$$\delta'_\eta \phi \frac{\delta S_5[\phi,\varphi]}{\delta \phi}$$

is a four-point vertex as we see from the explicit forms of $\delta'\phi$ (2.6) and S_5 (2.3). We further note that the RHS of (B.4) gives the variation of the one-loop effective action (times $e^{-(S_0+S^{(1)})}$) as we see from

$$\frac{\delta S^{(1)}}{\delta \phi} = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\varphi \frac{\delta S_5}{\delta \phi} e^{-S_5}}{\int \mathcal{D}\varphi e^{-S_5}}$$

which results from the definition (B.1) of the effective action.

Taking these facts into account, to the one-loop order, (B.2) is rewritten as

$$0 = \delta_\eta^{(0)}\phi \frac{\delta S_0[\phi]}{\delta\phi} + \delta_\eta^{(1)}\phi \frac{\delta S_0[\phi]}{\delta\phi} + \delta_\eta^{(0)}\phi \frac{\delta S^{(1)}[\phi]}{\delta\phi} \tag{B.6}$$

which shows that the effective action $S_0 + S^{(1)}$ is invariant under effective SUSY transformation $\delta_\eta^{(0)}\phi + \delta_\eta^{(1)}\phi$.

We note that the present discussion is not directly applicable for showing the SUSY of the effective action at 2-loop order and beyond. At these orders, (B.4) should no longer be valid, due to the contribution from (B.5).

In addition, we remark here that our simple discussion which involve only the Ward identity for the SUSY is due to the fact that the gauge fields (which belong to the 0-0 sector) are not integrated. When they are to be integrated, as in the case of the BFSS model, analysis of the Ward identity for the SUSY plus the one for the gauge symmetry is required for studying the invariance of the effective action under effective SUSY. It is essentially due to the fact that the gauge fixing term and the ghost action breaks SUSY. The formalism is developed in ref.[20] and explicit form of the effective SUSY transformation laws for the one-loop effective action of BFSS model (for abelian v.e.v.) is given in ref.[21].

References

[1] W. Taylor and M. Van Raamsdonk, *'Supergravity currents and linearized interactions for Matrix Theory configurations with fermionic backgrounds,'* *JHEP* **9904** (1999) 013, hep-th/9812239.

[2] R.C. Myers, *'Dielectric-Branes,'* *JHEP* **9912** (1999) 022, hep-th/9910053.

[3] M. Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B.E.W. Nilsson, P. Sundell, and A. Westerberg, *'The Dirichlet Super-p-Branes in Ten-Dimensional Type IIA and IIB Supergravity,'* *Nucl. Phys.* **B490** (1997) 179, hep-th/9611159.

[4] M. Aganagic, C. Popescu and J.H. Schwarz, *'Gauge-Invariant and Gauge-Fixed D-Brane Actions,'* *Nucl. Phys.* **B495** (1997) 99, hep-th/9612080.

[5] E.A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo and A. Sevrin, *'Non-abelian Born-Infeld and kappa-symmetry,'* hep-th/0011018.

[6] E.A. Bergshoeff, A. Bilal, M. de Roo and A. Sevrin, *'Supersymmetric non-abelian Born-Infeld revisited,'* *JHEP* **0107** (2001) 029, hep-th/0105274.

[7] M. Cederwall, B.E.W. Nilsson and D. Tsimpis *'D=10 Super-Yang-Mills at O(α'^2),'* *JHEP* **0107** (2001) 042, hep-th/0104236.

[8] A. Collinucci, M. de Roo and M.G.C. Eenink, *'Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at order α'^3,'* *JHEP* **0206** (2002) 024, hep-th/0205150.

[9] M. de Roo, M.G.C. Eenink, P. Koerber and A. Sevrin, *'Testing the fermionic terms in the non-abelian D-brane effective action through order α'^3,'* hep-th/0207015.

[10] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, *'M Theory As A Matrix Model: A Conjecture,' Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 5112, hep-th/9610043.

[11] D. Kabat and W. Taylor, *'Linearized supergravity from Matrix theory,' Phys. Lett.* **B426** (1998) 297, hep-th/9712185.

[12] W. Taylor and M. Van Raamsdonk, *'Multiple D0-branes in Weakly Curved Backgrounds,' Nucl. Phys.* **B558** (1999) 63, hep-th/9904095.

[13] W. Taylor and M. Van Raamsdonk, *'Multiple Dp-branes in Weak Background Fields,' Nucl. Phys.* **B573** (2000) 703, hep-th/9910052.

[14] I. Klebanov, W. Taylor and M. Van Raamsdonk, *'Absorption of dilaton partial waves by D3-branes,' Nucl. Phys.* **B560** (1999) 207, hep-th/9905174.

[15] M. Berkooz and M.R. Douglas, *'Five-branes in M(atrix) Theory,' Phys. Lett.* **B395** (1997) 196, hep-th/9610236.

[16] M. Asano and Y. Sekino, *'Non-abelian action of D0-branes from Matrix theory in the longitudinal 5-brane background,' Nucl. Phys.* **B639** (2002) 370, hep-th/0201248.

[17] B. de Wit and D.Z. Freedman, *'Combined supersymmetric and gauge-invariant field theories,' Phys. Rev.* **D12** (1975) 2286.

[18] J.A. Harvey, *'Spin Dependence of D0-brane Interactions,' Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **68** (1998) 113, hep-th/9706039.

[19] M.R. Douglas, D. Kabat, P. Pouliot and S.H. Shenker, *'D-branes and Short Distances in String Theory,' Nucl. Phys.* **B485** (1997) 85, hep-th/9608024.

[20] Y. Kazama and T. Muramatsu, *'On the Supersymmetry and Gauge Structure of Matrix Theory,' Nucl. Phys.* **B584** (2000) 171, hep-th/0003161.

[21] Y. Kazama and T. Muramatsu, *'Fully Off-shell Effective Action and its Supersymmetry in Matrix Theory,' Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) 2277, hep-th/0103116.